## Assignment on Lambda Calculus and Types

For your reference, we give the formalization of the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus and the simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus in the appendix at the end of this document.

1. In the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus, does the following property hold?

For any terms M and N, if  $M \to N$ , then  $fv(M) = fv(N)$ .

If it holds, just answer yes; otherwise, please give a counterexample (that is, instantiate M and N such that  $M \to N$  but  $f(v(M) \neq fv(N))$ .

- 2. In the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus, the span of a term is the minimal number of variables needed to write a term. It turns out that  $\beta$ -reduction can increase the span of a term. To show this, find a closed term M such that  $M \rightarrow^* \lambda x$ .  $\lambda y$ .  $M(x, y)$ .
- 3. In this problem we add "let-bindings" to the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus.

Syntax (the syntax for Values is unchanged):

(Terms)  $M$  ::= ... | let  $x = M$  in M

New reduction rules (note  $v$  is a value):

let 
$$
x = v
$$
 in  $M \to M[v/x]$  (LETV)  
\n
$$
\frac{M_1 \to M'_1}{\det x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2 \to \text{let } x = M'_1 \text{ in } M_2}
$$
 (LET)

- (a) The (LETV) rule uses substitution, but since we have extended the syntax of terms, the definition of substitution should be extended as well. Give the definition of the substitution  $M[N/x]$  when M is in the form of let-bindings.
- (b) Reduce the following term to a normal form.

let 
$$
c = \lambda n. \lambda m. \lambda f. \lambda x. n f (m f x)
$$
 in  
\n
$$
(\text{let } b = \lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x) \text{ in}
$$
\n
$$
(\text{let } a = \lambda f. \lambda x. f x \text{ in}
$$
\n
$$
(c b a))
$$

You can choose any reduction strategy. Please do not skip steps.

4. In this problem we add the option types to the simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus. We can use None and Some to construct terms of the option type, just like None and Some in Coq. Intuitively, None represents a dummy element (i.e. there is no meaningful element), Some  $M$  means that there is a meaningful element  $M$ , and get  $M$  gives us the meaningful element contained in  $M$ of the option type.

#### Syntax:

(Types)  $\tau$  ::= ... | option  $\tau$ (Terms)  $M$  ::= ... | None | Some  $M$  | get  $M$ (Values)  $v ::= ... |$  None | Some  $v$ 

New reduction rules:

$M \rightarrow M'$	(SOME)	$M \rightarrow M'$	(GET-M)
Some $M \rightarrow$ Some $M'$	(SET-M)		
get (Some $M$ ) $\rightarrow M$	(GET-SOME)	get None $\rightarrow$ get None	(GET-NONE)

- (a) Give 3 appropriate new typing rules, one for each new form of term. Note that your rules should ensure the preservation and progress theorems (though you don't need to show their proofs).
- (b) Consider each of the following questions in isolation. Answer yes or no.
	- i. Suppose we remove the above (some) rule. Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?
	- ii. Suppose we add the following rule.

$$
\frac{1}{\text{get } v \to \text{get } v} \, (\text{GET-V})
$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?

iii. Suppose we change the above (GET-SOME) rule to the following  $(GET-SONE')$  rule.

$$
\frac{1}{\text{get (Some } v) \to v} \text{ (GET-SOME')}
$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?

iv. Suppose we change the above (GET-NONE) rule to the following  $(GET-NOTE')$  rule.

$$
\overline{\mathsf{get~None} \to \mathsf{None}}~(\mathsf{GET\text{-}None'})
$$

Does the preservation theorem still hold? Does the progress theorem still hold?

# Appendix

## A The Untyped  $\lambda$ -Calculus

Syntax:

(Terms) 
$$
M ::= x | \lambda x. M | M N
$$
  
(Values)  $v ::= \lambda x. M$ 

Reduction rules:

$$
\frac{M \to M'}{(\lambda x. \ M) \ N \to M[N/x]} \qquad \frac{M \to M'}{\lambda x. \ M \to \lambda x. \ M'}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{M \to M'}{M \ N \to M' \ N} \qquad \frac{N \to N'}{M \ N \to M \ N'}
$$

Substitution:

$$
x[N/x] = N
$$
  
\n
$$
y[N/x] = y
$$
  
\n
$$
(M N)[N'/x] = (M[N'/x]) (N[N'/x])
$$
  
\n
$$
(\lambda x. M)[N/x] = \lambda x. M
$$
  
\n
$$
(\lambda y. M)[N/x] = \lambda y. (M[N/x]), \text{ where } y \notin fv(N)
$$
  
\n
$$
(\lambda y. M)[N/x] = \lambda z. (M[z/y])[N/x], \text{ where } y \in fv(N) \text{ and } z \text{ fresh}
$$

Free variables:

$$
fv(x) = \{x\} \qquad fv(M\ N) = fv(M) \cup fv(N) \qquad fv(\lambda x.\ M) = fv(M) - \{x\}
$$

Zero-or-more steps:

$$
M \to^0 M'
$$
 iff  $M = M'$   
\n
$$
M \to^{k+1} M'
$$
 iff  $\exists M''$ .  $M \to M'' \land M'' \to^k M'$   
\n
$$
M \to^* M'
$$
 iff  $\exists k. M \to^k M'$ 

Normal form: a term containing no redex. Closed term: a term containing no free variables.

## B The Simply-Typed  $\lambda$ -Calculus

Syntax (here T denotes the base type):

(Types) 
$$
\tau
$$
 ::= T |  $\tau \to \tau$   
\n(Terms)  $M$  ::=  $x$  |  $\lambda x : \tau$ .  $M$  |  $M$   $N$   
\n(Values)  $v$  ::=  $\lambda x : \tau$ .  $M$   
\n(Contexts)  $\Gamma$  ::=  $\bullet$  |  $\Gamma, x : \tau$ 

Reduction rules:

$$
\frac{M \to M'}{\lambda x : \tau. M) N \to M[N/x]} \qquad \frac{M \to M'}{\lambda x : \tau. M \to \lambda x : \tau. M'}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{M \to M'}{M N \to M' N} \qquad \frac{N \to N'}{M N \to M N'}
$$

Typing rules:

$$
\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \tau'
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \tau. M) : \tau \to \tau'
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \to \tau' \qquad \Gamma \vdash N : \tau
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma \vdash M N : \tau'
$$

Preservation:

For any M, M' and  $\tau$ , if  $\bullet \vdash M : \tau$  and  $M \to M'$ , then  $\bullet \vdash M' : \tau$ .

Progress:

For any M and  $\tau$ , if  $\bullet \vdash M : \tau$ , then either  $M \in$  Values or  $\exists M'$ .  $M \to M'$ .