

Assignment on Operational Semantics

For your reference, we have given the syntax and the small-step semantics of the language HW in Figure 1.

1. Suppose $(c_1 ; c_2, \sigma) \longrightarrow^* (c_2, \sigma')$. Show that it is not necessarily the case that $(c_1, \sigma) \longrightarrow^* (\mathbf{skip}, \sigma')$.
2. In this problem we add the expressions $x++$ and $++x$ to the language HW . We extend the syntax as follows:

$$(IExp) \quad e ::= \dots \mid x++ \mid ++x$$

The expression $x++$ returns the value of the variable x and then increments x (i.e. updates the value of x to be one greater than the old value). Its semantics can be formalized as follows:

$$\frac{\sigma(x) = \lfloor \mathbf{n} \rfloor}{(x++, \sigma) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{n}, \sigma\{x \rightsquigarrow \lfloor \mathbf{n} \rfloor + 1\})}$$

- (a) Give the small-step operational semantics rule for $++x$, which increments x and then returns the result.
- (b) Give the full execution path for the program

while $x < 7$ **do** $x := (x++) + (++x)$

from the initial state $\{x \rightsquigarrow 6\}$.

3. In this problem we add “side-effecting expressions” to the language HW . We extend the syntax as follows:

$$(IExp) \quad e ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{do} \ c \ \mathbf{return} \ e$$

The new expression first runs the command c and then returns the value of e . For example, **do** $x := 1$ **return** x will set x to 1 and return 1.

- (a) Give the small-step operational semantics rules for the new expression **do** c **return** e .
- (b) We define \prec between two expressions as follows (here we write \longrightarrow^* for zero-or-multiple steps of \longrightarrow):

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \prec e_2 \quad \text{iff} \\ \forall \sigma, \mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2. ((e_1, \sigma) \longrightarrow^* (\mathbf{n}_1, \sigma_1)) \wedge ((e_2, \sigma) \longrightarrow^* (\mathbf{n}_2, \sigma_2)) \\ \Rightarrow (\lfloor \mathbf{n}_1 \rfloor < \lfloor \mathbf{n}_2 \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

For each of the following properties, does it hold? If your answer is yes, just say yes. If your answer is no, give a counterexample (that is, in (i) and (ii), instantiate e_1, e_2 so that the relation \prec breaks; in (iii), instantiate e so that $e \prec e$; in (iv), instantiate e_1, e_2, e_3 so that the implication fails) and explain why the property does not hold at your example.

- i. $\forall e_1, e_2. (e_1 + e_2) \prec (e_1 + e_2 + \mathbf{1})$
- ii. $\forall e_1, e_2. (e_1 + e_2) \prec (e_2 + e_1 + \mathbf{1})$
- iii. $\forall e. \neg(e \prec e)$
- iv. $\forall e_1, e_2, e_3. (e_1 \prec e_2) \wedge (e_2 \prec e_3) \Rightarrow (e_1 \prec e_3)$

- (*IExp*) $e ::= \mathbf{n} \mid x \mid e + e \mid \dots$
 (*BExp*) $b ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid e < e \mid \dots$
 (*Comm*) $c ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid x := e \mid c; c \mid \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } c \mathbf{ else } c \mid \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } c$
 (*State*) $\sigma \in \text{Var} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{(e_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (e'_1, \sigma')}{(e_1 + e_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (e'_1 + e_2, \sigma')} \qquad \frac{(e_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (e'_2, \sigma')}{(\mathbf{n} + e_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{n} + e'_2, \sigma')} \\
 \frac{[\mathbf{n}_1] + [\mathbf{n}_2] = [\mathbf{n}]}{(\mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{n}_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{n}, \sigma)} \qquad \frac{\sigma(x) = [\mathbf{n}]}{(x, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{n}, \sigma)} \\
 \frac{(e_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (e'_1, \sigma')}{(e_1 < e_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (e'_1 < e_2, \sigma')} \qquad \frac{(e_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (e'_2, \sigma')}{(\mathbf{n} < e_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{n} < e'_2, \sigma')} \\
 \frac{[\mathbf{n}_1] < [\mathbf{n}_2]}{(\mathbf{n}_1 < \mathbf{n}_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{true}, \sigma)} \qquad \frac{[\mathbf{n}_1] \geq [\mathbf{n}_2]}{(\mathbf{n}_1 < \mathbf{n}_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{false}, \sigma)} \\
 \frac{(e, \sigma) \rightarrow (e', \sigma')}{(x := e, \sigma) \rightarrow (x := e', \sigma')} \qquad \frac{}{(x := \mathbf{n}, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{skip}, \sigma\{x \rightsquigarrow [\mathbf{n}]\})} \\
 \frac{(c_0, \sigma) \rightarrow (c'_0, \sigma')}{(c_0; c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (c'_0; c_1, \sigma')} \qquad \frac{}{(\mathbf{skip}; c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (c_1, \sigma)} \\
 \frac{(b, \sigma) \rightarrow (b', \sigma')}{(\mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } c_0 \mathbf{ else } c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{if } b' \mathbf{ then } c_0 \mathbf{ else } c_1, \sigma')} \\
 \frac{}{(\mathbf{if } \mathbf{true} \mathbf{ then } c_0 \mathbf{ else } c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (c_0, \sigma)} \\
 \frac{}{(\mathbf{if } \mathbf{false} \mathbf{ then } c_0 \mathbf{ else } c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (c_1, \sigma)} \\
 \frac{}{(\mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } c, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } (c; \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } c) \mathbf{ else } \mathbf{skip}, \sigma)}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1: The language *HW*.